

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/827,283	04/04/2001	Daniel Hallihan	021756-019400US	6623
51206	7590 08/30/2006		EXAM	INER
TOWNSENI	O AND TOWNSEND	NGUYEN, NGA B		
TWO EMBAR	RCADERO CENTER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•	ISCO, CA 94111-3834		3628	

DATE MAILED: 08/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/827	7,283	HALLIHAN, DANI	HALLIHAN, DANIEL			
		Examii	ner	Art Unit				
			Nguyen	3628				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicated reply	ation appears on	the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	idress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI resions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ication. iory period will apply an I, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMU be event, however, may d will expire SIX (6) Mapplication to become	NICATION.  y a reply be timely filed  NONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 28 April 2006	<b>3</b> .					
·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) $\boxtimes$ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
·	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>26-51</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>26-51</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election	n requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the I	Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	a) accepted or	b) objected	to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	e correction is req	uired if the drawi	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>							
	application from the Internationa	•		critectived in this Hadional	Olage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
······································								
Attachment	t(s)							
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Intervie	w Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC		Paper N	lo(s)/Mail Date	0.450\			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT r No(s)/Mail Date	O/SB/08)	6) Other:	of Informal Patent Application (PT0	U-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/827,283 Page 2

Art Unit: 3628

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on April 28, 2006 has been entered.

2. Claims 26-51 are pending in this application.

### Response to Arguments/Amendment

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 26-51 have been considered but are most in view of new grounds of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

5. Claims 26-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter, particularly, an abstract idea.

The claims, as presently claimed and best understood were reconsidered in light of the "Examination Guidelines for Computer-Related Inventions" and were found to be non-statutory. Discussion of the analysis of the claims under the guidelines follows.

Application/Control Number: 09/827,283 Page 3

Art Unit: 3628

As to claims 26-29, the claimed invention is implemented as Functional Descriptive Material *Per Se.* "A software product" recited in the preamble is considered a functional descriptive material. When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized. Compare In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d 1031, 1035 (Fed. Cir. 1994) (claim to data structure stored on a computer readable medium that increases computer efficiency held statutory) and Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1360-61, 31 USPQ2d at 1759 (claim to computer having a specific data structure stored in memory held statutory product-by-process claim) with Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1361, 31 USPQ2d at 1760 (claim to a data structure per se held nonstatutory). In this case, the "software product" recited in the preamble is not recorded on any computer-readable medium.

Therefore, for the reason set forth above, claims 26-29 are non-statutory, because they are directed solely to Functional Descriptive Material *Per Se*.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3628

7. Claims 26-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kahn et al (hereinafter Kahn), U.S. Patent No. 6,401,079, in view of Swart, U.S. Patent No. 6,347,306.

Regarding to claim 26, Kahn discloses a software product for processing time data and expense data, a software product comprising:

interconnectivity software operational when executed by a processor to direct the processor to:

receive the time data into a program module, receive the expense data into the program module (column 12, lines 28-60, payroll data 50 includes timesheet, payees data 70 includes information regarding payees to whom periodic payments will be made by the Employer from employee paycheck deductions),

store the time data receive from the program module and the expense data received from the program module in a central time and expenses database, the time and expenses database being a single access point for a plurality of independent software applications (figure 1 and column 11, lines 35-58, the application server 20 coordinates and controls a variety of applications, application server 20 communicates with a plurality of data collections implemented as databases, e.g. payroll data 50, benefits data 60, payees data 70),

transfer the time data from the time and expenses database to a payroll system, the payroll system including a payroll software application configured to process the time data (figure 2 and column 15, lines 30-60, the system process payroll services based on timesheet data); and

Art Unit: 3628

transfer the expense data from the time and expenses database to an accounts payable software application configured to process the expense data, wherein the payroll software application receives the time data and the accounts payable software application receives the expense from the single access point of the time and expenses database (column 17, lines 15-32, the system calculates non-deductible payments to payees based on benefits data 60 and payees data 70)

a software storage medium operational to store the interconnectivity software (column 11, lines 40-50, the application server 20 coordinates and controls a variety of applications that comprise the system's features and functionality, including software that performs various calculations, rule applications, disbursement, , etc.).

Kahn does not disclose create a first file of a first format compatible with a payroll system, create a second file of a second format compatible with an accounts payable software application. However, Swart discloses create a first file of a first format compatible with a payroll system (column 6, lines 37-67, translating the time and attendance data and human resources data into a normalized format preferred by the payroll processing system, translating the external data into a format usable by the payroll processing system). Swart does not disclose create a second file of a second format compatible with an accounts payable software application. However, creating a file format compatible with a system is well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Kahn to adopt the teaching of Swart and the well-known feature

Application/Control Number: 09/827,283

Art Unit: 3628

above for the purpose of providing more convenient and easier to process the data in a preferred format available in a system.

Regarding to claims 27-28, Kahn further discloses wherein the interconnectivity software is operational when executed by the processor to direct the processor to verify the time and expense data in the program module (column 17, lines 32-60, the system generates the employee's paycheck for validation purposes).

Regarding to claim 29, Kahn further discloses wherein the interconnectivity software is operational when executed by the processor to direct the processor to convert the time data into a format compatible with the payroll system (column 2, lines 58-61 and column 18, lines 5-23).

Claims 30-33 contain similar limitations found in claims 26-29 above, therefore, are rejected by the same rationale.

Regarding to claim 34, Kahn further discloses generating payment in the payroll system based on the time data (column 17, lines 33-36, generating employee's paycheck).

Regarding to claim 35, Kahn further discloses wherein transferring the time data comprises communicating between the program module and the payroll system (column 18, lines 5-23).

Regarding to claims 36-37, Kahn further discloses generating payment based on the expense data (column 17, lines 17-33). Kahn does not disclose wherein transferring the expense data comprises communicating between the program module and the accounts payable system and generating payment in the accounts payable system.

Art Unit: 3628

However, communicating between the program module and the accounts payable system and generating payment in the accounts payable system is well known in the art (see claim 1 above). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Kahn to adopt the well known feature above for the purpose of implementing the accounts payable software application into an accounts payable system in order to providing more memory and hard drive capacity to prevent data overloading.

Regarding to claims 38-39, Kahn does not disclose transferring the processed time data from the payroll system to a projects system; transferring the processed expense data from the accounts payable system to the projects system, the projects system including a project application configured to process the processed time data and the processed expense data, wherein the time data lows from the single access point to the payroll system to the projects system and the expense data flows from the single access point to the account payable system to the projects system, and processing the time data and the expense data in the projects system to generate a report. Kahn discloses the project application and accounts payable application are implemented on the same server with the payroll application (application server 20; column 19, lines 43-67, generating reports), the claimed invention requires the accounts payable application and the project system are implemented on different server with the payroll software application (the account payable software application and the project system are implemented on the same server, the payroll software application is implemented on the payroll system). However, implementing software applications into

Page 8

different servers is old and well known in the art. For example, a computer system of a company is implemented on different servers containing different software applications in order to increase the memory and hard drive capacity to prevent data overloading. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Kahn to adopt the well known feature above for the purpose of implementing the accounts payable software application and project system into different server with the payroll system, in order to providing more memory and hard drive capacity to prevent data overloading.

Regarding to claim 40, Kahn further discloses processing the time data and the expense data in a billing system to generate an invoice (column 19, lines 65-67, generating reports for benefit providers and third-party payees).

Claims 41-51 contain similar limitations found in claims 30-34, 37-40 above, therefore, are rejected by the same rationale.

#### Conclusion

- 8. Claims 26-51 are rejected.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Nga B. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-6796. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 9:00AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hyung S. Sough can be reached on (571) 272-6799.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-3600.

10. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

C/o Technology Center 3600

Washington, DC 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 (for formal communication intended for entry),

or

(571) 273-0325 (for informal or draft communication, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Knox building, 501 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA, First Floor (Receptionist).

NGA NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

July 7, 2006